



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

PAPER NUMBER

FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE 1597 Muhammed Ibrahim Sezan KRL:7146.066 09/544,808 04/07/2000 **EXAMINER** 10/06/2004 7590 LAMBRECHT, CHRISTOPHER M Chernoff Vilhauer McClung & Stenzel LLP

1600 ODS Tower 601 SW Second Avenue Portland, OR 97204

2611 DATE MAILED: 10/06/2004

ART UNIT

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|   |  | Application   | n No.  | Applicant(s)   |     |
|---|--|---|--|--|-----|
|   |  | 09/544,80   |  | SEZAN ET AL.   |     |
| Office Action Summary   |  | Examiner  |  | Art Unit   | -   |
|   |  |   | r M. Lambrecht   | 2611   |     |
|   | The MAILING DATE of this communic  |   |  |  |     |
| Period fo   | - <del>-</del>   |   |  | 0) 50014   |     |
| THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu Any              | ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC asions of time may be available under the provisions of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) period for reply is specified above, the maximum stature to reply within the set or extended period for reply within the set or extended perio | ATION. 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no evenication. days, a reply within the statutory period will apply and will ll. by statute, cause the appl | nt, however, may a reply be tin<br>tory minimum of thirty (30) day<br>I expire SIX (6) MONTHS from<br>ication to become ABANDONE | nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133). | *   |
| Status  |  |   |  |  |     |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 September 2004. |  |   |  |  |     |
|   | ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.  |   |  |  |     |
| 3)  | Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  |   |  |  |     |
| Dispositi   | ion of Claims  |   |  |  |     |
| 5)□<br>6)⊠<br>7)□   | Claim(s) 1-93 is/are pending in the ap 4a) Of the above claim(s) 6-93 is/are vectorial claim(s) 1-5 is/are rejected.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) are subject to restriction  | vithdrawn from cons   |  | 1  |     |
| Applicat  | ion Papers   |   |  |  |     |
| 10)   | The specification is objected to by the The drawing(s) filed on is/are: Applicant may not request that any object Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the oath or declaration is objected to  | a) accepted or b) ion to the drawing(s) be the correction is requir   | e held in abeyance. Se<br>ed if the drawing(s) is ob   | e 37 CFR 1.85(a).<br>ojected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  |     |
| Priority  | under 35 U.S.C. § 119  |   |  |  |     |
| a)  | Acknowledgment is made of a claim for All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority of Some * Copies of the priority of Some * Copies of the certified copies of application from the Internation See the attached detailed Office action   | locuments have bee<br>locuments have bee<br>f the priority documental<br>final Bureau (PCT Rul  | n received.<br>n received in Applicat<br>ents have been receiv<br>e 17.2(a)).  | ion No ed in this National Stage   | , e |
| 2)  Noti<br>3)  Info  | nt <b>(s)</b> ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT rmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or F er No(s)/Mail Date 4,5,6,7,8,9  |   | 4) Interview Summar<br>Paper No(s)/Mail E<br>5) Notice of Informal<br>6) Other:  | y (PTO-413)<br>Date<br>Patent Application (PTO-152)  |     |

Art Unit: 2611

### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election without traverse of claims 1-5 in the reply filed on 23 September 2004 is acknowledged.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Abecassis (US006553178B2) in view of Goldhaber (Goldhaber et al., US005794210A).

With regard to claim 1, Abecassis discloses a method of using a system with a video comprising a plurality of frames comprising the steps of: providing a usage preferences description (viewer's content preferences), describing preferences of a user with respect to the use of said video (col. 15, ll. 61-63), where said description includes multiple preferences (col. 16, ll. 6-8); and providing a protection attribute with respect to at least one of said preferences (password protection of viewer's content preferences screen, col. 16, ll. 34-36 and col. 17, ll. 46-49).

Though Abecassis does disclose providing a protection attribute with respect to at least one of said preferences, he fails to disclose the protection attribute indicates whether said one of said preferences is public or private.

In an analogous art, Goldhaber discloses a protection attribute indicating whether preferences (profiles, col. 13, ll. 33-55) are public or private (i.e., user can specify whether to make their profile available to advertisers, or to hide it from advertisers, col. 14, ll. 4-10), for the purpose of allowing the user to control access to personal information (col. 17, ll. 21-26).

Art Unit: 2611

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include in the method of Abecassis providing a protection attribute indicating whether preferences are public or private, as taught by Goldhaber, for the purpose of allowing the user to control access to personal information in a method of using a video distribution system.

As for claim 2, Abecassis and Goldhaber together disclose the method of claim 1, wherein said at least one of said audio, image, and video is video (Abecassis, col. 15, Il. 59-61).

As for claim 3, Abecassis and Goldhaber together disclose the method of claim 2 further comprising the step of limiting access to preferences associated with said protection attribute based upon said protection attribute (i.e., profile is either hidden or made available to advertisers based upon specification of the user; Goldhaber, col. 13, ll. 34-40).

As for claim 4, Abecassis and Goldhaber together disclose the method of claim 3, wherein said access is limited to a remotely located service provider (Goldhaber, attention broker computer 106, fig. 1, col. 14, ll. 29-35) of said video (where Goldhaber discloses the attention broker computer provides advertisements to the consumer, col. 15, ll. 64-66, and Abecassis discloses said video comprises advertisements, col. 44, ll. 46-57).

4. Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Abecassis and Goldhaber as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Oliver (Oliver et al., US 20020133412A1).

With regard to claim 5, Abecassis and Goldhaber together disclose the method according to claim 1, wherein said protection attribute is binary (i.e., the customer's profile can be designated as available to advertisers or not, Goldhaber, col. 13, 11. 34-37). However they fail to disclose the protection attribute is a binary value.

In an analogous art, Oliver disclosers a protection attribute (privacy flag) implemented as a binary value (on/off flag, pg. 12, ¶0293), for the purpose of enabling user preference settings to be combined as a single numeric identifier (¶0293, II. 1-3).

Art Unit: 2611

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include in the method of Abecassis and Goldhaber said protection attribute is a binary value, as taught by Oliver, for the purpose of enabling user preference settings to be combined as a single numeric identifier, in method of using a video distribution system.

Art Unit: 2611

### Conclusion

5. The following are suggested formats for either a Certificate of Mailing or Certificate of Transmission under 37 CFR 1.8(a). The certification may be included with all correspondence concerning this application or proceeding to establish a date of mailing or transmission under 37 CFR 1.8(a). Proper use of this procedure will result in such communication being considered as timely if the established date is within the required period for reply. The Certificate should be signed by the individual actually depositing or transmitting the correspondence or by an individual who, upon information and belief, expects the correspondence to be mailed or transmitted in the normal course of business by another no later than the date indicated.

# **Certificate of Mailing**

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to:

| Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450  |
|---|
| on<br>(Date)  |
| Typed or printed name of person signing this certificate:   |
|   |
| Signature:  |
| Certificate of Transmission   |
| I hereby certify that this correspondence is being facsimile transmitted to the United States Patent and Trademark Office, Fax No. (703) on  (Date) |
| Typed or printed name of person signing this certificate:   |
|   |
| Signature:  |

Please refer to 37 CFR 1.6(d) and 1.8(a)(2) for filing limitations concerning facsimile transmissions and mailing, respectively.

Art Unit: 2611 .

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Christopher M. Lambrecht whose telephone number is (703) 305-8710. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:30 AM - 6:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Christopher Grant can be reached on (703) 305-4755. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Christopher M. Lambrecht Examiner Art Unit 2611

**CML** 

PRIMARY EXAMINER

**CHRIS GRANT**